IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

****

C.W.P. No.2238 of 1987
Date of Decision:12.11.2007

Dharam Chand

.....Petitioner

Vs.

The Financial Commissioner-cum-Secretary to Government of Punjab, Department of Revenue, Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ram Lal Gupta, Addl. AG, Punjab for the State.

****

Rajesh Bindal, J.

The claim made in the present petition is for promotion to the post of Tehsildar from Naib Tehsildar over and above the private respondents.

Learned counsel for the official respondents submits that during the pendency of the petition, the petitioner was promoted as Tehsildar and in fact has retired on attaining the age of superannuation on 31.12.1996. All the private respondents in the petition have also retired prior to the date of retirement of the petitioner except respondent- Uttam Chand, who retired on 31.5.1997. Since no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner for the last three dates and no steps were taken to serve the private respondents, dismissed for non-prosecution.

November 12, 2007 **(RAJESH BINDAL)**
renu **JUDGE**